



# Intervening to increase general academic vocabulary: Word Generation, Year Two

Catherine E. Snow

Harvard Graduate School of Education

CREATE, October 2008



# Two anecdotes

- Vocabulary improvement program: educational researchers succeeding on the criteria applied to Arts & Sciences researchers
- Teacher-initiated vocabulary analysis: absence of approved procedures for validating craft knowledge





# Thus, SERP

- Strategic Education Research Partnership
- A different way of doing educational research
- An experiment in the sociology of knowledge (like the MacArthur Foundation experiment on collaboration)
- And an on-the-ground attempt to help schools change



# Pasteur's Quadrant

	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>+</b>	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>-</b>
<b>Contribution to quality of life +</b>		
<b>Contribution to quality of life -</b>	<b>Bohr</b>	



# Pasteur's Quadrant

	<b>Contribution to theory</b> <b>+</b>	<b>Contribution to theory</b> <b>-</b>
<b>Contribution to quality of life</b> <b>+</b>		Edison
<b>Contribution to quality of life</b> <b>-</b>	Bohr	



# Pasteur's Quadrant

	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>+</b>	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>-</b>
<b>Contribution to quality of life +</b>		Edison
<b>Contribution to quality of life -</b>	Bohr	Audubon



# Pasteur's Quadrant

	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>+</b>	<b>Contribution to theory</b>  <b>-</b>
<b>Contribution to quality of life +</b>	Pasteur	Edison
<b>Contribution to quality of life -</b>	Bohr	Audubon





# SERP Principles

- Emergence of questions from practice, i.e., ‘Practice-embedded/use-based research’ or ‘working in Pasteur’s quadrant’
- Working at three levels simultaneously (student learning, teacher learning, organizational learning)
- Recruiting multiple forms of expertise
- Creating local solutions designed to travel
- Engineering tools that carry knowledge across sites
- Developing procedures to accumulate knowledge across sites
- Exploiting bottom-up as well as top-down sources of wisdom



# Getting started in Boston

## **Interviewing and surveying teachers**

Comprehension a universal worry

Many problems mentioned

Vocabulary challenges widely noted

## **Observing in classrooms**

Little vocabulary instruction overall

Focus on disciplinary vocabulary

Content area texts challenging, unengaging

## **Literacy assessments**

Many readers struggling with comprehension

Low vocabulary across the board

Problems with word reading, fluency for some



# Boston/2007 NAEP- Reading 4<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> grade

- **4<sup>th</sup> grade**
  - 49.81 Below Basic
  - 33.91 Basic
  - 13.75 Proficient
  - 2.52 Advanced
- **8<sup>th</sup> grade**
  - 40.37 Below Basic
  - 43.47 Basic
  - 15.11 Proficient
  - 1.05 Advanced



# Mystic Elementary:

## One collaborating school

- Students are
  - 90.7% Latino
  - 91% Low income
  - 78.7% Language Minority
- Assessed all 5<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> grade students in mainstream instruction
- **Struggling Comprehenders**
  - < 35<sup>th</sup> percentile in reading comprehension on national norms



Grade	Year 1	Year 2
5 <sup>th</sup>	55 (26)	53 (25)
6 <sup>th</sup>	45 (22)	60 (22)
7 <sup>th</sup>	35 (19)	39 (14)
8 <sup>th</sup>	27 (12)	36 (17)
Total	162 (79)	188 (78)

# Profiles of struggling Mystic readers



## Year 1

## Year 2





# The Challenge

- Part of the achievement gap is a vocabulary gap
- Less is known about adolescent vocabulary development than about word learning in younger children.
- ELLs in particular need school-based support for vocabulary learning



# Challenges to Vocabulary Instruction

Our initial classroom observations in BPS revealed that:

- Academic vocabulary was infrequently taught
- Instruction was fragmented over content areas
- Texts and topics failed to engage adolescents



# Implications for Teachers and the District

- Only a few students need intensive instruction in the code
- A minority of students need targeted intervention in fluency
- Vocabulary is the most promising leverage point for whole-class instruction
- **So how do we teach vocabulary?**





# The SERP Team Response

Word Generation, designed to

- Build the vocabulary of middle school students through repeated exposure to high frequency academic words in various contexts;
- Promote regular use of effective instructional strategies among teachers;
- Facilitate faculty collaboration on a school-wide effort.



# Over-arching goal of WG

To create an “easy-to-embed,” engaging, and effective word study program that develops a repertoire of vocabulary-building practices among teachers and students, which become “institutionalized” over time across all subject areas.



# Word Generation Program Features

- Focus on the *Academic Word List (AWL)*
- Materials designed for flexible use across the curriculum
- An expectation that schools will dedicate at least 15 instructional minutes a day
- An opportunity for each school team to design a practical implementation plan that suits its own particular school context



# Word Generation: Materials

- 24 weeks, each focused on a set of 5 words
- 4 strands/content-areas with 6 topics each
- Controversial topics include:
  - **Science strand:** stem cell research
  - **Math strand:** athletes and multi-million dollar salaries
  - **Social Studies strand:** Should English be the official language of the US?
  - **English Language Arts strand:** affirmative action and college admissions



# Word Generation: Weekly Schedule

Monday

Paragraph  
introduces  
words


Tuesday-Thursday

Content-area  
word activities

Friday

Writing with  
focus words

# A Typical Word Generation Week, Yr 2



- Mon.: Establish word meanings  
Comprehend the gist of the passage
- Tues.: Identify different positions on the issue  
Begin to argue for and against positions
- Wed.: Establish math version of definition  
Discuss math multiple choice questions
- Thurs.: Science cloze paragraph  
Discuss science open response question
- Fri.: Writing activity



# Day 1 - Launch

Introduce passage, containing *academic vocabulary*, built around a *question* that can support discussion and debate

## Should the government pay for stem cell research?

In summer 2003, toddler Kai Harriott of Boston was sitting on her porch, singing with her sister. A gang member shot into the air to scare Kai's neighbors. Kai was hit by a bullet. After being shot, Kai was paralyzed. She could not move from the waist down. Because of her injury, Kai must use a wheelchair. Scientists have a theory that stem cells can someday help people like Kai.

Stem cells are found in different parts of the human body, including in our blood. Stem cells are also found in fertilized human eggs, called embryos. Stem cells from embryos can develop into cells that do many different jobs in the human body. With more research, we may be able to grow replacement parts for humans from stem cells.

If doctors can grow spinal cord cells, people like Kai might walk again. New brain cells could help people who have had strokes or Alzheimer's. Scientists might also learn to grow the cells that make insulin. This could help people with diabetes. But to obtain stem cells, scientists must destroy a human embryo.

Many people think that human life begins when an egg is fertilized. They think destroying a human embryo is murder. They say scientists should only work with stem cells from adults. But stem cells from adults won't grow into many different kinds of human cells. Stem cells from embryos may be our only hope of curing diseases. Investigating stem cells will take years and cost millions. Should the government pay for stem cell research?



# Included in Day 1

A list of five *target words*, both *academic* and *content vocabulary*, and their definitions, both kid-friendly and dictionary-like

**Paralyzed** (adj.): Unable to move

**Embryo** (n.): An organism at a very early stage of development; a fertilized egg

**Theory** (n.): A hunch, an unproven idea, or a systematic set of predictions

**Obtain** (v.): To get

**Investigating** (v.): Learning about; exploring



## Also included on Day 1



A list of five *comprehension questions*, to guide the class in checking understanding of the passage

### Comprehension Questions:

1. How was Kai Harriott paralyzed?
2. What theories do doctors have about how stem cells could help people?
3. What is an embryo?
4. Why do some people think we shouldn't obtain stem cells from embryos?
5. Should the government pay for the work of scientists who are investigating stem cells?



## Day 2 - Social Studies

Developing *positions on the issue* set out in the passage, to help the class frame the debate.

### Positions:

1. Scientists should not be allowed to investigate cures for disease using stem cells from embryos. This is trying to “play God”.
2. Destroying an embryo to get the stem cells is murder.
3. The government should pay for embryonic stem cell research. This could lead to cures for many injuries and diseases.
4. Scientists should be allowed to do research on embryonic stem cells, but the government should not pay for it because many taxpayers oppose it.

Note: these are **optional**. The class may want to develop its own positions!

## Day 3 - Math



### MCAS-type mathematics problems using some of the target words:

1. Some people believe that embryonic stem cell research is important. They think this because scientists use these cells to investigate diseases. Scientists try to find cures for these diseases, and for conditions like paralysis. Other people believe that embryonic stem cell research is wrong. They think this because scientists must destroy embryos to obtain these cells. In a recent poll, 40.75% of people said that the government should not pay for embryonic stem cell research. Which decimal is equivalent to 40.75%?

- A) 4.075
- B) .4075 \*
- C) .04075
- D) .02

- a) Students can work in pairs
- b) Whole class discussion
- c) Open-response (show/explain how you got your answer)

## Day 4- Science



A science-related *cloze passage* to give students more practice using different forms of the words:

**paralyzed theorize obtained embryos investigating embryonic**  
The debate over stem cell research has caused some Hollywood stars to enter the world of politics. Michael J. Fox, a movie and TV star, has given money to political candidates who support \_\_\_\_\_ stem cell research. For him, \_\_\_\_\_ the medical uses of stem cells \_\_\_\_\_ from human \_\_\_\_\_ is very important. In 1991 Fox was diagnosed with Parkinson's Disease. This disease occurs when cells in the brain die or stop functioning well. These cells help the body's muscles to move in a smooth and coordinated way. Because their muscles do not have enough of these cells, people who have Parkinson's Disease experience shaking, slow movement, stiffness, and problems with balance. Some medicines can help, but there is no cure. However, like people who have been \_\_\_\_\_ by spinal injury, scientists \_\_\_\_\_ that stem cell research may lead to much better treatment for those with Parkinson's Disease. Yet this type of research is controversial.

If time, a related open-response question can be used as a basis for discussion (Should the government continue to restrict funding for embryonic stem cell research? Why or why not?)

# Supplemental activity if time permits..



A set of *prompts for word study* of the target words

## ELA Word Study:

1. Remind students that Kai Harriot was paralyzed—that is, unable to move parts of her body. “Paralyzed” can also mean “temporarily stopped or unable to act. Ask them to think of situations in which they or the systems around them might be paralyzed in this way. Provide a few examples to get them started.

The entire city was paralyzed by the blizzard that brought four feet of snow.

He tried to force himself to see who was at the door, but he was paralyzed by fear.

The lightning knocked out several cell phone towers, and paralyzed communications.



# Day 5- ELA

## Writing Activity


Should the government pay for stem cell research? Give evidence to support your position.




# Working with teachers on WG

- Focus group to brainstorm topics
- Teacher academy to review initial materials
- Intensive feedback on weeks 1-5
- Weekly reviews from interested teachers
  - Improvements in teacher materials
  - Redesign of math problems
- Teacher-contributed materials
- Teacher participation in website development







*Monday/Tuesday:*  
informal assessment,  
theories of word  
meaning, reading of  
passage, talk about  
topic, scanning of  
text/annotation



*Tuesday/Wednesday:*  
informal assessment,  
group work relating  
words to world



*Wednesday/Thursday:*  
debate




*Friday:* essay writing






# Multiple Choice Test Comparison, Mystic School





# Top 3 Words Mystic Students Learned





# Mystic Student Testimonials

## Examples of student responses about the benefits of WG

It got me familiar to new words and my writing got better.

When we go and write something our writing sounded much more matchure.

Now I use more Word Generation in my writing.

Before I only used simple and boring words. Now I'm a better writer because my writing has Word Generation words and it makes it more interesting.


I learned new words. I made my writing sound outstanding.



# Year 1 Whole School Pilots

## Westfield Middle School

- 80 % Black
- 16% Hispanic
- 1.8 White
- 1.6 Asian
- 29% Special Education
- In 'needs improvement status'



## Reilley Middle School


- 62% Black
- 18.1 % Hispanic
- 9.3% White
- 8.9 % Asian
- 25% Special Education
- In 'needs improvement status'





# Two Boston Middle Schools

## MCAS ELA



- Westfield**

GRADE: 3.6 = mean stanine score, 49% scored  $\leq$  3<sup>rd</sup> stanine

	6th	7th	8th
A/P	26%	23%	39%
NI	51%	48%	43%
W/F	23%	29%	18%

- Reilley**

GRADE: 4.4 = mean stanine score, 29% scored  $\leq$  3<sup>rd</sup> stanine


	6th	7th	8th
A/P	56%	52%	66%
NI	41%	44%	29%
W/F	3%	4%	6%





## Multiple Choice Test Results


Grade	n	Mean percent Correct 1 <sup>st</sup> 12 week words	
		Pre	Post
Six	29	65.09	77.82
Seven	46	68.20	82.75
Eight	64	74.67	85.02
Six	104	68.28	77.02
Seven	109	72.24	79.04
Eight	120	75.03	83.96





## Multiple Choice Test Results


Grade	n	Mean percent Correct 1 <sup>st</sup> 12 week words	
		Pre	Post
Six	29	65.09	<b>77.82</b>
Seven	46	68.20	82.75
Eight	64	<b>74.67</b>	85.02
Six	104	68.28	<b>77.02</b>
Seven	109	72.24	79.04
Eight	120	<b>75.03</b>	83.96





# Intervention Effect Sizes by Grade, all words year 1 (using pooled SD)

Westfield



Reilley



Grade 6:	0.45	0.25
Grade 7:	0.57	0.33
Grade 8:	0.71	0.45






# Year 2 results, 6 schools

Treatment Status	Pre Test		Post Test		Gain
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Comparison Group (N=294)	21.02	6.20	22.97	7.15	1.95
Treatment Group (N=632)	18.53	6.17	22.93	7.33	4.4



# Year 2 results, 6 schools





# Word Re-Generation

- Changes made during year one
  - Math problems: MCAS adapted
  - Teacher materials: streamlined
- Changes made in year two
  - Much more focus on academic discussion (Cathy O'Connor)
  - Website designed (Matt Ellinger)
- Changes made in year three
  - Science activities upgraded



# Academic Discussion

## Academically productive talk (APT)

- Conceptually rich

- Personally engaging

- Interpersonally responsive

## Key moves in supporting APT

- Revoicing

- Students revoicing one another

- Requiring warrants

## Key conditions for APT

- Classroom discussion rules

- Talk about issues not people



# New Science Activities: Week 6 Learning in your sleep

**Disclaimer:** This is a fictitious experiment with fictitious data. It should not be attempted or replicated in any way. Professor Lexie Kahn and Professor Paul E. Seemy are Word Generation characters and not real scientists.

**Background Information:** Professors Seemy and Kahn overheard their students talking about how hard it was to find time to study. One student said he wished that he could study while he slept. Professor Kahn began to wonder if you could learn something while you slept. First, they had to identify ways students could access information while they slept. They quickly eliminated books as a source, but considered DVDs and MP3 players. They decided to try MP3 players to see if students could learn a new language while they slept.

**Question:** Does listening to a digital recording of a lesson on an MP3 player while you sleep help you learn?



# New Science Activities: Week 6 Learning in your sleep

**Hypothesis:** Listening to a digital recording of Russian while you sleep will help you learn.

## **Materials:**

Digitally recorded Russian lesson (basic words: mother, father, sister, brother and counting 0-10)

Test of Russian vocabulary (same as those taught in lesson)

## **Procedure:**

Administer to 60 students a pre-test in Russian. Record results.

Teach all of the students the Russian vocabulary words.

Divide the students into 3 groups. The first group will not study at all. The second group will study the vocabulary words. The third group will listen to the recording on an MP3 player while they sleep.

Administer the same post-test the next morning.



# New Science Activities: Week 6 Learning in your sleep

	Average Score on Pretest - # correct of 15	Average Score on Post-test - # correct of 15
Did not study	2/15	4/15
Studied	2/15	12/15
Listened to recording	2/15	10/15

## Concluding discussion

Was the hypothesis correct?

What evidence supports your conclusion?



# Criteria for Effective Implementation of WG

- Student Level
  - Learning and using the Word Generation words
  - Improved understanding of content-area vocabulary and texts
  - Improved performance on MCAS
  - Improved world knowledge and writing
- Teacher Level
  - Improved knowledge of effective vocabulary strategies
  - Increased sense of responsibility for teaching content through language and language through content
  - Livelier classroom discussions with more accountable talk
- School Level
  - Improved trust and internal accountability
  - Greater involvement by principals in instruction
  - Shared commitment to developing and sustaining a school-wide literacy culture





# Basic SERP Principles and Word Generation

Urgent problems of practice

Comprehension in middle school

Defined in ways susceptible to  
practitioner input

Vocabulary

Upstream and downstream  
movement of knowledge

Teacher consultation and research  
base

Student, teacher, and organizational  
learning

Curriculum, PD, collaboration  
across departments within  
schools

School-based, not academic, time-  
line

Working real fast, processing  
teacher feedback in real time

Local solutions that travel

Red states and blue states



# With thanks to

Suzanne Donovan, Juliana Pare-Blagoiev, Matt Ellinger (and others), SERP Institute

Claire White, Sarah Meacham, Jeannette Mancilla-Martinez, Joshua Lawrence, James Kim, Syndi Kim, Richard Elmore, Michelle Forman, Lasse Isakson (and others), Harvard SERP Team

Tom Payzant, Carol Johnson, Sonia Brookings-Santelises, Barbara Adams, Cathleen Kral, Mark Teoh (and others), Boston Public Schools

Spencer, Lowenstein & Hewlett Foundations, IES through Council of Great City Schools, Carnegie Corporation of New York (and others), funders