In September, 2007, New York City	a new program to
try to encourage students to do well in school. The mayor decided to carry out a unique plan for raising student achievement. Instead of	
students money as an in order to encourage them to try	
hard. Some fourth and seventh graders were paid as much as \$500 per year	
as for doing well on the	ne city's math and reading
tests.	
Many people were excited about the mayor's i	idea. Those who agreed with
the mayor thought his plan would make schoo	
world, where people earn money for showing	and
working hard without being told. They argued	
if they got immediate rewards. They though it could be hard for some	
students if the only was	as to wait for the future reward
of getting into college or finding a good job. S	
they knew they would be paid for high scores,	and therefore would learn
more.	
However, other people had more	ideas about the
kinds of rewards students should receive for g	
things had been done all along and didn't think paying students was fair.	
People who opposed the mayor's plan worried	l about the students who tried
their best and worked with	but, no matter how hard
they tried, didn't score well enough on the test	
worried that some students might become and stop	
trying in the future. Also, many people believe	
own reward. A good education can help stude	nts become better critical
thinkers and to be more creative. It helps peop	
they, or come across	
paying students for grades thought that if students	_
money, they might not discover that learning of	can be fun.
Do you think a program like the one in New Y academically and get g	ork City helps students ood grades in school? Should
students be paid for high test scores?	